**Secular Humanism – The Bully Religion**

The official website of the Council for Secular Humanism goes by the same name as their magazine: Free Inquiry. In defining their worldview, Secular Humanists claim to be “nonreligious”, meaning “espousing no belief in a realm or beings imagined to transcend ordinary experience.” But faith in God is not a necessary component of religion. Webster says religion can be “a cause, principle, or system of beliefs held to with ardor and faith.” The Supreme Court of the United States recognized Secular Humanism as a religion in the 1961 case, *Washington Ethical Society v. District of Columbia:* “Among religions in this country which do not teach what would generally be considered a belief in the existence of God are Buddhism, Taoism, Ethical Culture, Secular Humanism, and others.”

Secular Humanists attempt to claim the scientific high-ground, relegating religions like Christianity to the realm of blind faith and superstition. They say that Secular Humanism is “a world-view in the broadest sense, grounding our lives in the context of our universe and relying on methods demonstrated by science. Secular humanists see themselves as undesigned, unintended beings who arose through evolution, possessing unique attributes of self-awareness and moral agency.”

Some highly respected scientists are Secular Humanists and some believe in an Intelligent Designer and Creator. Some of the greatest scientists in history believed in God. They studied the natural world, not to try to explain away the Creator, but to better understand the world He created. To suggest that faith in God somehow contradicts legitimate scientific inquiry is arrogant and dishonest.

In the next several articles, we will notice that Secular Humanists cling blindly to religious tenets that are painfully unscientific. To abandon those religious tenets would be paramount to admitting that there is an intelligent force out there that is much greater than they. So they continue to defend their religion despite scientific evidence to the contrary.

Their answers to critical questions like, how did the universe get here, how did life begin, what is the explanation for the apparent complex design in the world, their religious tenets are embarrassingly inadequate and actually contradict such high-confidence sciences as biology, physics and mathematics.

The purpose of this series of articles is not to ridicule or silence adherents of a religion that differs from mine. The purpose is to refute the misnomer of Science vs Christianity. The Bible was not intended to be a science textbook, but truth and reality are one and the same. There is no contradiction between a proper understanding of Scripture and the real world. But the religion of Secular Humanism is founded on tenets that are simply too far-fetched for me to accept. As a Christian, I refuse to be bullied by the ridicule of the arrogant. Let’s decide what is most reasonable to believe based on the evidence.
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